**Partnership in Research**

**Second round evaluation criteria (B)**

**Peer review form**

Acquaintance and the nature of your relationship with the applicant:

Please state if you know the applicant personally or if you have previously encountered the applicant’s work, read or cited his papers or already assessed his work.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Please grade the following criteria using grades from 1 to 5:  
1 - Poor  
2 - Fair  
3 - Average  
4 - Very good  
5 – Excellent

Note: please use only integers. Decimal numbers in grades (4.2, 3.5) are not allowed.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **SCIENTIFIC QUALITY AND RESEARCH PLAN INNOVATIVENESS** | |
| How do you estimate scientific quality and research plan innovativeness? (*Is the project scientifically well-founded? Is the research plan well-founded in scientific and professional sense? Can the project generate new knowledge, new methods and technology? Is the project proposal original? What is its contribution to the existing knowledge in the field?*) | 1-5 |
| How do you estimate research design and methods? (*To what extent the research plan, proposed activities plan and research objectives are realistic and clearly presented? To what extent are the planned research methods and materials appropriate for the project proposal?*) | 1-5 |
| What is the importance of the proposed topic, i. e. importance of the research question, in relation to the entire research area and possible effects on society and economy? | 1-5 |
| Please describe the scientific quality and research relevance briefly. | |
| Total |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **PROJECT PROPOSAL FEASIBILITY** | |
| How do you assess the feasibility of work plan and planned outputs? (*Are the outputs well planned? Are they in line with the work plan? Are they realistic? To what extent are they appropriate and feasible? Does the project proposal state all potential risks and ways of dealing with them? To what extent does the project proposal meet the programme goals such as development of new knowledge and technologies and cooperation between universities and research institutions with partner institution?)* | 1-5 |
| Does the planned cooperation bring an added value to the scientific goal of the project? How do you estimate the importance of the project in partner institution development? (*To what extent does the project contribute to the partner institution profiling and development?)* | 1-5 |
| How do you estimate the potential for applicability of results, creation of new knowledge and products and development of intellectual property? | 1-5 |
| Please describe the project proposal feasibility briefly. | |
| Total |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **COOPERATION AND RESEARCH GROUP** | |
| What is the production of the Principal Investigator in the last 5 years in the context of publishing papers in journals with a high IF greater than average in a particular area or in high-quality journals in area of social sciences and humanities?  Does the Principal Investigator have several publications in leading international journals in the area of research in which he is the lead or corresponding author, in the first quartile of the finest journals in the observed scientific area according to relevant scientific databases or registered patent(s)? If the disciplinary background of the Principal Investigator is the area of social sciences or humanities, does he have several papers published in peer-reviewed books, conference papers or high-quality papers? | 1-5 |
| How do you assess Principal Investigator's research group management competencies (including previous mentorships and quality of work published with the existing research group, and capacity to lead research team that includes partner institution associates)? | 1-5 |
| How do you assess the compliance of scientific qualifications of team members with the planned work? Is the planned research group adequate? | 1-5 |
| Please describe the cooperation and research group briefly. | |
| Total |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PROJECT PROPOSAL’S MAIN STRENGHTS**  *(Please describe briefly using a minimum of 50 words.)* |
|  |
| **PROJECT PROPOSAL’S MAIN WEAKNESSES**  *(Please describe briefly using a minimum of 50 words.)* |
|  |

Recommendations for funding the project proposal:

A - I propose the funding of the project proposal in this form. (over 40 points)

B - I propose the funding of the project proposal with minor finishing. (over 35 points)

C - Project proposal requires significant changes. I do not propose the funding of the project proposal in this form.